# EXHIBIT 9

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 2 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
3	
4	
5	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE )
6	ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
7	
8	
9	
10	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
11	
12	
13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SIDDHARTH HARIHARAN
14	San Francisco, California
15	Friday, October 12, 2012
16	Volume I
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported by:
21	ASHLEY SOEVYN
22	CSR No. 12019
23	Job No. 1541277
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 310
	Page 1

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 3 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	offer with EA?	11:05:38
2	A. In what capacity?	11:05:39
3	Q. Well, let's let's back up a sec. So, do	11:05:41
4	you recall what your salary was at Radical?	11:05:44
5	A. My salary changed over the course of my	11:05:47
6	working at Radical. So it depends on	11:05:50
7	Q. All right. Well, let's when you started	11:05:52
8	at Radical, what was your salary?	11:05:53
9	A. I think it was a year. Oh, wait,	11:05:55
10	when I was officially hired at Radical. So there	11:05:59
11	was a there was a period of three months before	11:06:03
12	officially they classified me as an employee	11:06:09
13	three months or something like that where I was	11:06:12
14	getting paid nothing, initially. Like I was like	11:06:14
15	an intern. That was when they took me. So then I	11:06:17
16	got paid like a thousand bucks a month. It was more	11:06:21
17	just like a this is there you go. Don't	11:06:26
18	leave. And then it was a year.	11:06:28
19	Q. And when you at the time you left	11:06:33
20	Radical, what was your salary at Radical?	11:06:35
21	A. I think it was or I can't	11:06:41
22	remember exactly what that number is.	11:06:46
23	Q. And these numbers we're talking about,	11:06:48
24	, are these Canadian dollars?	11:06:50
25	A. Yes.	11:06:54
		Page 79

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 4 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q.	So when you got your job offer with EA,	11:06:56
2	what was	your compensation in that job offer?	11:07:00
3	Α.	It was Canadian.	11:07:06
4	Q.	And that was the initial offer that EA made	11:07:08
5	to you?		11:07:10
6	А.	Yes.	11:07:12
7	Q.	Prior to that, EA making the offer,	11:07:13
8	had you	discussed compensation with EA?	11:07:17
9	А.	No.	11:07:21
10	Q.	And when EA made the offer to you,	11:07:23
11	was that	the offer you took back to Radical?	11:07:26
12	А.	Yes.	11:07:29
13	Q.	And what happened when you took it back to	11:07:30
14	Radical?	First off, who did you bring it to?	11:07:33
15	А.	My lead.	11:07:37
16	Q.	And what did you say?	11:07:38
17	А.	I said, "Look, I want to work here. I	11:07:39
18	don't war	nt to go to EA. Can you" "can you match	11:07:41
19	it?"		11:07:48
20	Q.	And what did he say in response to that?	11:07:50
21	А.	He said, "Let's" "let's think about it	11:07:54
22	in six m	onths."	11:07:57
23	Q.	And what was your response to that?	11:08:01
24	Α.	I think I was like, "Come on, man, I don't	11:08:03
25	want to	leave. Just, you know, meet me halfway just	11:08:06
			Page 80

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 5 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	so I don't feel like I'm really dicked around." And	11:08:10
2	he said, "Let's discuss it in six months."	11:08:13
3	Q. Did it ever go any farther than that?	11:08:17
4	A. I handed him my resignation letter. He	11:08:22
5	handed it back to me. He said, "Think about it over	11:08:25
6	the weekend." I said, "No, I've thought about it.	11:08:28
7	Here it is." He said, "No, I'm not taking it." And	11:08:30
8	I thought about it over the weekend. And Monday	11:08:34
9	handed it back to him and he walked me out.	11:08:38
10	Q. Do you know if your lead ever made	11:08:44
11	strike that.	11:08:46
12	Do you know if your lead at Radical ever	11:08:46
13	made any effort to bring your request for an	11:08:49
14	increased salary to anyone up the chain above him?	11:08:52
15	A. It wasn't policy at Radical to do that. I	11:08:55
16	at least as far as I knew, it wasn't policy at	11:08:57
17	Radical. So I think his hands were tied in that	11:09:03
18	regard.	11:09:07
19	Q. And when you say, "it wasn't policy," what	11:09:08
20	do you mean? Do you mean that it was the lead's	11:09:10
21	decision and no one else's?	11:09:11
22	A. No, I I don't think it was I think it	11:09:15
23	was understood at the company with the producers and	11:09:19
24	the leads not to entertain counteroffers. I	11:09:25
25	don't I don't think I'm not sure. I that's	11:09:29
		Page 81

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 6 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	what I understood. Well, maybe they just were	11:09:32
2	cheap, they just didn't want to give more. I don't	11:09:38
3	know. (Cross-talking.)	11:09:41
4	Q. Initially okay. You understood that it	11:09:41
5	was Radical's policy not to	11:09:42
6	A. No, I said it could be.	11:09:46
7	Q. Was that your understanding, though, that	11:09:49
8	it was their policy not to give pay raises in	11:09:53
9	response to other job offers from competing	11:09:56
10	companies?	11:09:59
11	A. I didn't say that. I just said that I	11:10:00
12	think I learned later that it might have been policy	11:10:06
13	at Radical not to entertain someone coming with	11:10:10
14	another job offer and matching that. I think that's	11:10:19
15	what it was.	11:10:22
16	Q. And do you recall how you learned that?	11:10:25
17	A. No.	11:10:28
18	Q about Radical's policy?	11:10:28
19	A. I can't remember. Might have been just	11:10:28
20	through a colleague.	11:10:31
21	Q. All right. So you resigned from Radical	11:10:32
22	and then you moved over to EA, correct?	11:10:36
23	A. Yes, I did.	11:10:41
24	Q. Was there a lag time in between, though?	11:10:42
25	A. Yeah, there might have been a lag time.	11:10:47
		Page 82

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 248-4 Filed 12/10/12 Page 7 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ss:
2	COUNTY OF MARIN )
3	
4	I, ASHLEY SOEVYN, CSR No. 12019, do hereby
5	certify:
6	That the foregoing deposition testimony was
7	taken before me at the time and place therein set
8	forth and at which time the witness was administered
9	the oath;
10	That the testimony of the witness and all
11	objections made by counsel at the time of the
12	examination were recorded stenographically by me,
13	and were thereafter transcribed under my direction
14	and supervision, and that the foregoing pages
15	contain a full, true and accurate record of all
16	proceedings and testimony to the best of my skill
17	and ability.
18	I further certify that I am neither counsel for
L 9	any party to said action, nor am I related to any
20	party to said action, nor am I in any way interested
21	in the outcome thereof.
22	IN THE WITNESS WHEREOF, I have transcribed my
23	name this 22nd day of October, 2012.
24	
	<del></del>
25	ASHLEY SOEVYN, CSR 12019
	Page 310
	5